1 2 3 4 5 6	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE LEGAL DIVISION Enforcement Bureau - San Francisco Julie D. Soo, Bar No. 197570 45 Fremont Street, 21st Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415-538-4429 Facsimile: 415-904-5490 Attorneys for The California Department of Insurance	
8	BEFORE THE INSURANCE CO	MMISSIONER
9	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Licenses and	File No. 09OC00884-AP
12	Licensing Rights of	FIRST AMENDED
13	FIRST MERCURY EMERALD INSURANCE SERVICES, INC.,	ACCUSATION
14	Respondent.	
15		
16		
17		
18	The Insurance Commissioner of the State of California in his official capacity files this	
19	matter and alleges that:	
20	I	
21	Respondent, FIRST MERCURY EMERALD INSURANCE SERVICES, INC.	
22	("EMERALD"), was from February 21, 2008, and now is, the holder of a license issued by the	
23	Insurance Commissioner of the State of California to act as a Fire and Casualty Broker-Agent	
24	(License No. 0G00727).	
25		
26	II	
27	After investigation of affiliated entities First Mercury Insurance Company and CoverX	
28	Corporation dba CoverX Insurance Services for which adm #564348 v1 -1-	ninistrative action has been initiated,

the Department of Insurance Investigations Division discovered that from at least November 2008, Respondent has in this state acted as the managing general agent for nonadmitted insurer First Mercury Insurance Company through CoverX Corporation, the holder of a license issued by the Insurance Commissioner of the State of California to act as a Fire and Casualty Broker-Agent (License No. 0573926). The three entities are all subsidiaries of First Mercury Financial Corporation, a holding company, and Respondent has in the capacity of a managing general agent transacted the business of insurance in the state, including but not limited to the underwriting and placement of surplus line insurance with residents of the State of California. Respondent also contracted with California surplus line brokers.

The matters hereinabove set forth in Paragraph II show that Respondent aided First Mercury Insurance Company, an entity not licensed to transact the business of insurance in California, to transact insurance with residents of the State of California, in violation of California Insurance Code section 703.

IV

III

17 |

The matters hereinabove set forth in Paragraphs II and III show that Respondent has not intended to and has not actively and in good faith carried on as a business with the general public the transactions which are permitted by the license which it holds and constitute grounds for the Insurance Commissioner to suspend or revoke the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions of sections 1668(c) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code.

V

The matters hereinabove set forth in Paragraphs II and III show that the continued transaction of insurance in this State by Respondent would be against the public interest and constitute grounds for the Insurance Commissioner to suspend or revoke the licenses and

#564348 v1 -2-

licensing rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions of sections 1668(b) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

The matters hereinabove set forth in Paragraphs II and III show that Respondent has shown incompetency or untrustworthiness in the conduct of a business, or has by commission of a wrongful act or practice in the course of a business exposed the public or those dealing with it to the danger of loss and constitute grounds for the Insurance Commissioner to suspend or revoke the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions of sections 1668(j) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code.

VI

11

13

14

15

16

17

10

VII 12

The matters hereinabove set forth in Paragraphs II and III show that Respondent has failed to perform a duty expressly enjoined upon it by a provision of the Insurance Code or has committed an act expressly forbidden by such a provision and constitute grounds for the Insurance Commissioner to suspend or revoke the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions of sections 1668(1) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code.

18

19 VIII

The matters hereinabove set forth in Paragraphs II and III show that Respondent has aided or abetted a person in an act or omission which would constitute grounds for the suspension, revocation or refusal of a license or certificate issued under the Insurance Code to the person aided or abetted and constitute grounds for the Insurance Commissioner to suspend or revoke the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions of sections 1668(n) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code.

#

27 #

28

-3-#564348 v1

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	IX		
2	The matters hereinabove set forth in Paragraphs II and III show that Respondent has		
3	violated a provision of law relating to conduct of business which could lawfully be done only		
4	under authority conferred by such license and constitute grounds for the Insurance Commissioner		
5	to suspend or revoke the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions o		
6	sections 1668(p) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code.		
7			
8			
9	DATED:12/14/09 STEVE POIZNER		
10	Insurance Commissioner		
11			
12	By: /s/		
13	JULIE D. SOO Senior Staff Counsel		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

#564348 v1 -4-

28